



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/825,350  | 04/04/2001  | Jesse Perla          | 1351829.0013        | 1421             |
| 25681   | 7590        | 10/27/2003           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| ORMISTON & MCKINNEY, PLLC<br>802 W. BANNOCK STREET, SUITE 400<br>P.O. BOX 298<br>BOISE, ID 83701-0298 |             |                      | NGUYEN, VAN H       |                  |
|   |             | ART UNIT             |                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             | 2126                 |                     |                  |

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                              |                 |              |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|                              | 09/825,350      | PERLA ET AL. |
|                              | Examiner        | Art Unit     |
|                              | VAN H NGUYEN    | 2126         |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 April 2001.

2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1.2 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____  |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to the application filed April 04, 2001. Claims 1-2 are presented for examination.

*Specification*

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract appears to be written as if it were a claim and is not in narrative form. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Appropriate correction is required.

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Cheung** (U.S. 5,175,854).

**As to claim 1**, Cheung teaches a method for facilitating application reuse in web-based applications, said method comprising the steps of:

- creating an application (*an applications hierarchy 10; col. 2, lines 39-51*) having parent (*a parent 11; col. 2, lines 39-51*) and child components (*one or more children 12A through 12N; col. 2, lines 39-51*);
- using a linked application form in the parent to specify a link to either an arbitrary URL or a child application (*The parent 11 also includes a parent-child interface 15, and each child 12 also includes a child-parent interface, generally identified by reference numeral 16, that jointly facilitate communication between the parent application 13 and the respective child applications 14; col. 2, lines 39-66*);
- using an exit form in each child application to define flows that return from a child exit form and continue in the parent (*after the child application 14 has stored the data in the respective file or buffers, it generates a session exit request 210... after the parent application 14 receives the session exit request ... The parent application 13 generates a terminate application request 220; col.8, line 67-col.7, line 55*).

**As to claim 2,** Cheung teaches each set form includes a unique identifier whereby each exit point is uniquely identified to the parent (*The parent application 13 generates a terminate application request 220 (FIG. 3L), including an application identifier in field 221 identifying the child application and an action identifier in field 223 identifying the termination request; col.9, lines 30-68*).

### ***Conclusion***

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

|                      |            |                      |
|----------------------|------------|----------------------|
| - Bryant et al       | US 6405367 | issued date: 06/2002 |
| - Murphy, Jr. et al. | US 6345291 | issued date: 02/2002 |

Application/Control Number: 09/825,350  
Art Unit: 2126

Page 4

- Walker et al. US 6286001 issued date: 09/2001  
- Morisio et al. "Framework Based Software Development: Investigating the Learning Effect" Software Metrics symposium, 1999-pp.1-9.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VAN H NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 306-5971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30AM - 6:00PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternative Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9000.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**  
**Commissioner for Patents**  
**PO Box 1450**  
**Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

**or fax to:**

(703) 746-7239 (for formal communications intended for entry)  
(703) 746-7238 (for After Final communications)  
(703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications)

VHN  
October 15, 2003



JOHN FOLLANSBEE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100